IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s):	C.M. Dennison et al.	Examiner	Peter K. Huntsinger
Serial No.	10/065,528	Group Art Unit	2624
Filed	October 26, 2002	Docket No.	BLD920010032US2
TITLE	METHOD, APPARATUS	AND PROGRAM PROI	DUCT PROVIDING FOR
	MULTIPLE PRINT JOB 7	TICKETS PER PRINT JO	OB SOURCE FILE

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted through the USPTO EFS-Web system over the Internet to Examiner Peter K. Huntsinger on June 29, 2006.

/David Victor/

David W. Victor

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This paper is submitted in response to an Advisory Action in the above case dated June 12, 2006 in which the Examiner found that claims 7-10, 21-24, and 35-38 are allowable and would be allowed if rewritten in independent form including the requirements of the base and intervening claims. To place the case in condition for allowance, Applicants added the requirements of allowable claims 9, 23, and 37 to independent claims 1, 15, and 29, respectively, and rewrote allowable claims 7, 21, and 35 in independent form including the requirements of the base claims. Applicants canceled claims 5, 8-10, 22-24, 33, and 36-38. Applicants further amended many dependent claims to accommodate the amendments to the base claims. Applicants submit that these amendments place the pending claims 1-4, 6, 7, 11-21, 25-32, 34-35, and 39-42 in condition for allowance.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2. Remarks/Arguments begin on page 12.